FILED

		FILED	
1.		Honorable Timothy AV. Bransaw Hearing Date: November 19, 2013	
2.		KING COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT CLERK	
3.		E-FILED	_ A
		CASE NUMBER: 13-2-37021-2	ŀΕΑ
4. 5.			
6.			
7.	SUDEDIOD COUDT OF THE	STATE OF WASHINGTON	
	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF KING		
8.			
9.	INTEL-GE CARE INNOVATIONS LLC,	Case No. 13-2-37021-2 SEA	
10	a Delaware limited liability company; BONNIE NORMAN, a California resident;	PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR	
11.	and STEVE BACKERS, a California	LIMITED EARLY DISCOVERY	
12	resident,		
13.	Plaintiff,		
14	v.		
15.	JAMES H. MORRISON, a Washington		
16.	resident,		
17.	Defendant.		
18			
19.			
20.			
21.			
22.			
23.			
24.			
25.			
26.			
27.			
28.			

13. 14.

28.

I. RELIEF REQUESTED¹

Plaintiffs Bonnie Norman, Steve Backers, and Intel-GE Care Innovations ("Care Innovations") seek an order allowing them to serve early subpoenas. CR 30 requires that Plaintiffs seek leave of court to serve deposition subpoenas earlier than 30 days after service of the summons and complaint. Defendant James H. Morrison violated this Court's temporary restraining order by traveling to California to harass Care Innovations employees, including posting fliers in employee neighborhoods falsely claiming that Care Innovations' employees were sexual predators. But Care Innovations can only prove this violation with third-party evidence.

Care Innovations would like to send subpoenas to airlines and car-rental companies. If the Court does not grant the motion, there is risk that evidence may be lost. In addition, with evidence Care Innovations can move the Court for a contempt order or present evidence to law-enforcement officials who may be able to prevent Morrison from harming Care Innovations employees. And there is no prejudice to Morrison if third parties produce documents a couple weeks earlier than the rules provide by default. The Court should allow subpoenas right away.

II. STATEMENT OF FACTS

A. Morrison violated a temporary restraining order and now Care Innovations needs third-party discovery so that it can move for a contempt order and provide evidence to law-enforcement officers.

This Court issued a temporary restraining order prohibiting defendant James Morrison from harassing Care Innovations employees. (November 8, 2013 Declaration of Derek Newman ("November 8, 2013 Newman Decl.") at Att A.) But last weekend in California, Morrison followed three Care Innovation employees—Ray Solone, Stan Telson and Kenny Bailey—to their private homes. (November 8, 2013 Newman Decl. at Att. B.) Morrison proceeded to distribute letter leaflets throughout each employees' neighborhoods, identifying the employee by full name, picture and home address

¹ Care Innovations simultaneously moves for an order shortening time in order to allow this Court to consider this motion for early discovery.

18

19

21

25

claiming that the pictured employee was a confirmed child predator. (Id.) Morrison falsely represented that the letter was from Care Innovations "Vice President and General Counsel, Edward Kleinhans." (Id.)

The following is a true and correct copy of the leaflet left on Mr. Telson's car and distributed around his neighborhood:

Dear Neighbor,

Please be aware that we have a dangerous child predator living nearby.

During an unrelated investigation, a large number of images depicting graphic child pornography were found on the computer of Stan Telson

This has been reported to the authorities.

In the meantime, please take reasonable precautions if you have small children living with you or visiting your home.



Picture of Stan Telson

Edward Kleinhans Vice President, General Counsel, Intel-GE Care Innovations

(November 8, 2013 Newman Decl. at Att. B.) Solone, Telson, Bailey, Kleinhans—and their families—now fear that they will be attacked or harassed by others in their communities, many of whom received the leaflets. (Id.) Several Care Innovations employees have abandoned their homes fearing that Morrison will escalate his threats and cause further damage. (Id.) Morrison has placed Care Innovations employees in reasonable fear for their safety at the workplace and at home with their immediate families.

В. Morrison's behavior is escalating and Care Innovations needs to move quickly to protect its employees.

This dispute started because Morrison was upset that he was banned from a car-

1.	ent
2.	Во
3.	No
4.	on
5.	wo
6.	Fre
7.	bo
8.	Mo
9.	he.
10.	att
11.	hai
12.	the
13.	ado
14.	(Id
15.	of
16.	
17.	ret
18.	(N
19.	of
20.	sar
21.	bel
22	

23.

24

25.

26

27.

28

thusiast blog for allegedly posting pornography. (October 30, 2013 Declaration of onnie Norman ("October 30, 2013 Norman Decl.") at ¶5.) He fixated on Plaintiff orman as the person responsible for his ban because she was one of several moderators. the blog—even though she did not ban him, could not reinstate him, and told him he ould have to speak with others if he wanted to get back on the blog. (Id. at ¶¶ 4-5.) om this tiny incident, Morrison began a campaign of harassment against Norman, her ss Steve Backers, Care Innovations, and even Care Innovations' Washington lawyers. orrison threatened to harm Norman's career, created a website that mirrors the leaflets distributed in California claiming that Backers was a child predator (and falsely ributed the website to Norman), threatened Care Innovations' lawyers with career rm and physically intimidated them, promised to "escalate" the dispute, visited one of e lawyers' homes, and sent a middle-of-the-night email containing only a residential dress later found to belong to a person with the same last name as one of the lawyers. l.; see also October 30, 2013 Declaration of Jason Sykes; October 30, 2013 Declaration Derek Linke.)

Because of Morrison's bizarre and escalating threats, Care Innovations has ained corporate security experts and implemented a company-wide safety plan. ewman Decl. at 4.) Employees—and the lawyers—are not staying in their homes out fear that Morrison will strike. (Id.) Care Innovations would like to seek contempt nctions and notify law-enforcement authorities for Morrison's latest round of harassing havior in California. But without evidence that Morrison traveled to California, Care Innovations may not be able to satisfy its burden. And if there is a delay in obtaining this evidence, Morrison may cause more harm.

III. STATEMENT OF ISSUES

Whether the Court should allow. Care Innovations to subpoena airlines and rentalcar companies in the Sacramento area before CR 30(a) provides for open discovery.

IV. EVIDENCE RELIED ON

Plaintiff relies on the declarations of Derek Newman, Bonnie Norman, Steve

24

25.

26

27

28

Backers, and Derek Linke, along with the Motion for a TRO, the Complaint, and the other pleadings on file.

V. ARGUMENT & AUTHORITY

A. Standards for granting early discovery

CR 30(a) provides:

When Depositions May Be Taken. After the summons and a copy of the complaint are served, or the complaint is filed, whichever shall first occur, any party may take the testimony of any person, including a party, by deposition upon oral examination. Leave of court, granted with or without notice, must be obtained only if the plaintiff seeks to take a deposition prior to the expiration of 30 days after service of the summons and complaint upon any defendant or service made under rule 4(e).

The Court should grant leave for early depositions upon a showing of good cause. Good cause exists where there is a possibility that further harm will occur without early discovery. *U.S. CFTC v. Nat'l Inv. Consultants, Inc.*, 2005 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 42873 (N.D. Cal. June 29, 2005)(allowing early discovery into the location of assets that might be concealed.)²

Early discovery may prevent further harm. Morrison's obsession with Care Innovations is irrational, and his conduct is escalating. He is upset that he was banned from a blog, but Care Innovations had nothing to do with that ban. He has progressed from starting a website accusing someone he has never met with being a child predator to threatening attorneys and paying personal visits to their homes. Morrison further escalated his harassment by traveling hundreds of miles across two states to post fliers accusing other people he's never met and who have no connection to the blog he's upset about of being child predators.

Morrison's escalating behavior is in spite of this Court's temporary restraining order. Allowing Care Innovations to serve subpoenas confirming that it was Morrison who traveled to California will enable Care Innovations to seek further relief and

² This Court may rely on federal authority because Washington's rule is substantively identical to Fed.R.Civ.P. 30. *Casper v. Esteb Enters.*, 119 Wn. App. 759, 767(2004).

1 hopefully finally stop Morrison's bizarre and harmful behavior. 2 VI. **CONCLUSION** 3 Morrison's behavior is extreme and risks severe irreparable damage to Plaintiffs. 4 Care Innovations has obtained a temporary restraining order that Morrison appears to 5 have ignored. Allowing Care Innovations to serve subpoenas on airlines and rental car 6 agencies will confirm that Morrison violated the Temporary Restraining Order and allow 7 Care Innovations to seek further relief. Dated this 8th day of November, 2013. 8 9 10 Respectfully submitted, 11 NEWMAN DU WORS LLP 12 13 By: 14 Derek A. Newman, WSBA No. 26967 Derek Linke, WSBA No. 38314 15 16 Attorneys for Plaintiffs 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28.